EXHIBIT B

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 2
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
    VIDEO GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 4
 5
                    Plaintiff,
 6
                                         ) CASE NO. 17-CV-454-GKF-JFJ
    VS.
 7
    CASTLE HILL STUDIOS, LLC., et al., )
 8
                     Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                    TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS
                             DECEMBER 6, 2017
16
     BEFORE THE HONORABLE JODI F. JAYNE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE PRESIDING
17
                              MOTION HEARING
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		A P	ΡE	A R	ANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:				MR. GARY M. RUBMAN MR. MICHAEL SAWYER
3					Covington & Burling, LLP One City Center
4					850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
5					MR. GRAYDON DEAN LUTHEY, JR.
6					Gable Gotwals 1100 ONEOK Plaza
7					100 W. 5th Street Tulsa, OK 74103-4217
8	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:				MR. JAMES COLLIN HODGES
9					James C. Hodges, PC 2622 E. 21st Street
10					Suite 4 Tulsa, OK 74114
11					MR. JONATHAN SPENCER JACOBS
12					Zobrist Law Group PLLC 1900 Arlington Blvd.
13					Suite B Charlottesville, VA 22905
14					MR. ROBERT COURTNEY GILL
15					Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr LLP
16					1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 550
17					Washington, D.C. 20006
18			* *	***	****
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legally, and I'm drinking out of a fire hose, so to speak, and I'm trying to figure out what I need to do in order to be able to defend the case and communicate meaningfully with the client with whom I have no prior relationship before this case, and I feel like my client has a right to be able to participate meaningful in the defense of this action. And my concern in looking at the order, first of all, in all my years of practice, and you can probably tell by the absence of hair on my head and the gray in my beard, that that's a number that begins with a 3 at this point, I've never seen a protective order that limited client access to just plain confidential information. I've seen it with respect to highly confidential information but just not plain confidential information, and I think that is an unworkable restriction. I would like to have the opportunity to discuss this with the client, particularly in a trade secret case because, you know, one of the defenses in these types of cases is this is the particular claim that's been made for which trade secret protection is sought, and I need to be able to discuss with the client what that specific claim is. I would like for the client to be able to see whatever the evidentiary material is that underlies that complaint because the client may be able to say, in response to that, "No, we didn't use this or anything like this. This is how we did it and I need that in order to be able to defend the claim." And if I can't have that

1 communication with the client, that significantly hampers my 2 ability to be able to defend the case, and that's my concern. 3 I'm looking at this for a logistical, you know, not trying to be hypertechnical about it. This is a very basic thing for me. 4 I'm concerned about being able to meaningfully communicate with 5 6 my client and have the client be able to meaningful assist me 7 in the defense of the case. THE COURT: Why haven't you met and conferred with 8 9 them about this protective order? It sounds like there's a 10 whole lot you agree on. 11 Well, there is, but, you know, to be MR. GILL: 12 candid, what happened was, you know, I felt like no good deed 13 was going unpunished with respect to my communications with 14 I told them candidly that what my view was on trade counsel. 15 secret discovery about how I needed to know more of the 16 substance of their claims to be able to respond, and it was 17 obvious from the request for the meet-and-confer they were 18 trying to game the system and file the motion to compel 19 discovery against me before our responses are even due. And 20 once it was obvious to me that was what was happening, frankly, 21 I didn't feel there was enough good faith involved to make it 22 worthwhile, and I thought, "You want to run to court and file a 23 motion before my discovery is due? I'm perfectly happy to 24 discuss this with the judge and have the judge resolve the 25 issue in a way that, like it or not, it'll be done, that's what

1 I do." So, that's how that happened. I felt like I was candid 2 and honest with counsel in my e-mail that's part of the record 3 where I said, "Look, I need to know this stuff," and I felt like I was punished for it. So, that's why. Okay? 4 With respect to highly confidential information, that 5 6 obviously presents a thornier issue, and I will be candid with 7 the court on that, that because it's thornier, I think there are balancing interests involved and the answer to that issue 8 9 is a little bit less clear to me about how to do it. I do obviously -- you know, I have the same concern that I 10 expressed to Your Honor with respect to confidential 11 12 information. I need -- I desperately need to be able to 13 discuss this meaningfully with my client and have my client 14 assist me in the defense of this action, and I'm concerned 15 about any procedure that interferes with my ability to do that. 16 And while we're at it, let's just go ahead, if you're okay 17 with this, let's just go ahead and put all our cards on the 18 table on this too, just so you know where I'm coming from. We took over the case from Mr. Jacob's firm, Mr. Jacobs is 19 20 in the courtroom this morning, and Mr. Jacobs and his firm 21 basically serve as outside in-house counsel for this client. 22 This is a small start-up company. So, at a minimum, I would like to be able to include Mr. Jacobs and Mr. Zobrist in the 23 scope of any order that's entered. They -- you know, that 24 25 gives me a level -- because they understand the client and the

1	entire thing needs sealed.
2	MR. GILL: Okay. Fair enough.
3	THE COURT: So, yes.
4	Okay. Is there anything else that we need to take up here
5	today, Mr. Rubman?
6	MR. RUBMAN: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Gill?
8	MR. GILL: No, ma'am. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you both.
10	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: All rise.
11	THE COURT: Court's adjourned.
12	(PROCEEDINGS CLOSED)
13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
14	WHILE NOT PRESENT TO STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORT THE FOREGOING
15	PROCEEDINGS, I CERTIFY THAT IT WAS TRANSCRIBED TO THE BEST OF
16	MY ABILITY FROM A DIGITAL AUDIO RECORDING.
17	CERTIFIED: <u>s/Greg Bloxom</u> Greg Bloxom, RMR, CRR
18	United States Court Reporter 333 W. 4th Street, RM 411
19	Tulsa, OK 74103 (918)699-4878
20	greg_bloxom@oknd.uscourts.gov
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	